

United States Patent and Trademark Office

gh-

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/723,152	11/26/2003	Chih-Chao Yang	YOR920030506US1 (17077)	9245	
23389	7590 02/22/2005		EXAMINER		
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			BROCK II, PAUL E		
SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815		
			DATE MAILED: 02/22/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/723,152		YANG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Paul E. Bro		2815				
Period for		Ή		•	••			
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of 37 CI IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the provision of the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply will, by the ply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even on. a reply within the statute period will apply and will statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status								
1) 🔲 1	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u> </u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□ :	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
(closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
4) 🛛	4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.							
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🖂 (Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction an	a/or election requ	irement.					
Application	on Papers							
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
11)[] [ne oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner, Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	۷.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents.	ments have been	received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu							
	 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B 	•		eu iii tiiis ivationai Stage				
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for	•	, ,,	ed.				
J.								
Attachment(s)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	9)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/723,152 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1 7, 16 17, and 21 23, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass ++.
 - II. Claims 8 15, 18 20, and 24 25, drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass ++.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the second insulator material layer can be deposited selectively with an opening therein.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,152 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a. Species Ia, a semiconductor interconnect structure or method of making the same; wherein each metal contact via is aligned;
 - b. Species Ib, a semiconductor interconnect structure or method of making the same; wherein every other insulator material level is offset from an immediate adjacent layer;
 - c. Species II, a semiconductor capacitor structure or method of making the same; and
 - d. Species III, a semiconductor heat sink or method of making the same.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Art Unit: 2815

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i)

Any inquiry concerning this communication of earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul E. Brock II whose telephone number is (571) 272-1723. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM.

Art Unit: 2815

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul E Brock II